NEW MOTOR VEHICLE BOARD 1 2415 1st Avenue, MS L242 2 Sacramento, California 95818 Telephone: (916) 445-1888 **CERTIFIED MAIL** 3 4 5 6 7 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 NEW MOTOR VEHICLE BOARD 10 11 In the Matter of the Protest of **Protest No. PR-2819-23** SORAYA, INC., DBA AUTO GALLERY 12 MITSUBISHI - MURRIETA. 13 PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR SUMMARY Protestant, 14 v. **ADJUDICATION** 15 MITSUBISHI MOTORS NORTH AMERICA, INC., 16 Respondent. 17 18 19 To: Gavin M. Hughes, Esq. Robert A. Mayville, Jr., Esq. 20 Attorneys for Protestant LAW OFFICES OF GAVIN M. HUGHES 21 4360 Arden Way, Suite 1 Sacramento, California 95864 22 Dean A. Martoccia, Esq. 23 Attorney for Respondent SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 24 333 S. Hope Street, Suite 3900 Los Angeles, California 90017 25 Brandon L. Bigelow, Esq. William F. Benson, Esq. 26 Attorneys for Respondent 27 SEYFARTH SHAW LLP Two Seaport Lane, Suite 1200 28 Boston, Massachusetts 02210

the dealership at issue in this Protest. (Opposition, p. 3, fn. 2)

#### **Notice of Termination**

4. On April 19, 2023, pursuant to Section 3060, MMNA issued a 15-day notice of termination<sup>3</sup> alleging, in part, the following:

Auto Gallery Mitsubishi-Murrieta ("Dealer") and Mitsubishi Motors North America, Inc. ("MMNA") are parties to a Mitsubishi Dealer Sales and Service Agreement dated February 12, 2019 (the "Dealer Agreement"), which established Dealer as an authorized dealer of Mitsubishi Products. Dealer agreed under the terms and conditions of the Dealer Agreement to assume certain obligations and responsibilities as a Mitsubishi dealer in exchange for the rights granted to it under the Dealer Agreement. Among other things, Dealer agreed under Section IV.F. of the Dealer Sales and Service Agreement Standard Provisions incorporated by reference into the Dealer Agreement (the "Standard Provisions") to keep its dealership premises open for business.

As further described below, Dealer has substantially and materially breached the Dealer Agreement in a manner that constitutes good cause for termination of the Dealer Agreement under the express provisions of the agreement and applicable California law. This letter serves as MMNA's statutory notice of its intent to terminate the Dealer Agreement in compliance with the requirements of Cal. Veh. Code § 3060(a).

MMNA has learned that Dealer has not conducted its customary sales and service operations during its customary hours of business for at least seven consecutive business days. Specifically, Dealer's employees advised MMNA that dealership operations in Murrieta were closed and Dealer advised its vendors that it had ceased operations in Murrieta on or about March 7, 2023. This is validated by observations made by MMNA's own personnel, who noted that a sign at the Murrieta dealership advertises the real estate as being "AVAILABLE" and who observed that the dealership was closed for more than seven consecutive business days in February 2023. This observation also is validated by a review of the most recent financial information for Dealer, which shows that Dealer had no new car sales in February and March 2023. Dealer has also disabled its website and Facebook page.

Under Section X.B.1.a. of the Standard Provisions, MMNA may terminate the Dealer Agreement immediately "[u]pon failure of Dealer to keep its MMNA dealership operations, or any part thereof, open for business for a period in excess of five (5) consecutive business days." Recognizing the seriousness of this type of breach, Cal. Veh.

- (i) Transfer of any ownership or interest in the franchise without the consent of the franchisor, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld;
  - (ii) Misrepresentation by the franchisee in applying for the franchise;
- (iii) Insolvency of the franchisee, or filing of any petition by or against the franchisee under any bankruptcy or receivership law;
  - (iv) Any unfair business practice after written warning thereof;
- (v) Failure of the motor vehicle dealer to conduct its customary sales and service operations during its customary hours of business for seven consecutive business days, giving rise to a good faith belief on the part of the franchisor that the motor vehicle dealer is in fact going out of business, except for circumstances beyond the direct control of the motor vehicle dealer or by order of the Department of Motor Vehicles. (Section 3060(a)(1)(B)(i)-(v))

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A 15-day notice of termination may be issued only if one or more of the specified grounds outlined below exist, otherwise the franchisor may issue only a 60-day notice of termination:

unable to resume operations at the Dealership Premises in accordance with the express terms of the

27

28

Dealer Agreement. (Motion, p. 4, lines 9-12)

13. Relying on Duarte & Witting, Inc. v. New Motor Vehicle Bd. (2002) 104 Cal.App.4 <sup>th</sup> 626				
637, 641, Respondent argues that "the Board has the inherent power to dismiss a protest or summarily				
dismiss a protest (without a hearing on the merits of the protest)" where the undisputed facts provide a				
basis on which the case may be adjudicated as a matter of law. Protestant ceased its operations and sold				
the Dealership Premises so "no order of the Board could result in [Protestant] continuing to operate as a				
Mitsubishi dealer. Going to a hearing would be pointless: no Mitsubishi sales have been made or will be				
made in the future by [Protestant]; no warranty obligations are being performed by [Protestant] on				
customers' vehicles; [Protestant] is not providing any benefit to the public; and [Protestant] is not				
contributing to the community and is not generating any tax revenue." MMNA argues that based on the				
undisputed evidence, good cause exists for the termination of Protestant's Mitsubishi franchise. (Motion				
p. 4, lines 24-26; p. 6, line 22 through p. 7, line 5)				

## <u>Protestant's Assertions in its Opposition to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, for Summary Adjudication</u>

- 14. On July 19, 2023, Protestant filed its "Opposition to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss, or in the Alternative, for Summary Adjudication."
- 15. Protestant contends dismissal is not warranted as there are disputed facts because it submitted a buy-sell<sup>7</sup> for its Mitsubishi dealership (i.e., facts are not undisputed as MMNA asserts).<sup>8</sup> (Opposition, p. 2, lines 8-12; p. 3, lines 19-20; Ex. 1 Asset Purchase Agreement dated July 18, 2023)
- 16. The proposed buyer is currently occupying the Dealership Premises and according to Protestant is "prepared to commence Mitsubishi operations upon Respondent's approval of the proposed buy-sell." Protestant maintains that it will "temporarily resume operations until such time as Respondent approves the franchise transfer. The temporary closure of a franchise alone does not provide good cause

<sup>24 || 7</sup> During the telephonic hearing. A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> During the telephonic hearing, ALJ Skrocki indicated to counsel that the buy-sell was not an issue before the Board. (Transcript, p. 7, lines 14-15))

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> In a "buy-sell" with a dealer and a third-party, the franchise is not sold by the selling dealer. Assets or stock may be sold. The franchise with the selling dealer is terminated, and the manufacturer or distributor issues a new franchise to the buyer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Section 2.1 of the Asset Purchase Agreement provides that only intangible assets and goodwill related to Auto Gallery Mitsubishi were sold to the buyer Bravo Auto Collection, LLC., a California limited liability Company, for a total of \$250,000. (Opposition, Ex. 1, § 1.9 (defining Intangible Assets); § 2.1)

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

for termination. Protestant argues that dismissal is inappropriate because the extent of Protestant's failure to comply with the terms of the franchise is to be weighed by the merits hearing administrative law judge in light of the existing circumstances and Section 3061 good cause factors. (Opposition, p. 2, lines 13-21; p. 3, lines 19-22)

- 17. According to Protestant's interpretation of *Duarte*, "dismissal is only proper when the undisputed facts demonstrate the Board may determine the issue as a matter of law without the need to make factual determinations. Therefore, dismissal in a Section 3060 termination protest, such as this, is only valid where the undisputed facts demonstrate good cause for termination exists as a matter of law and there is no basis to prevent termination. (Duarte at pp. 637-638.)" In this protest, there are facts in dispute rendering dismissal unwarranted. "As there are facts in dispute, the Board cannot determine the issue of good cause without first issuing findings regarding the factual disputes, which should properly be resolved at a merits hearing." (Opposition, p. 5, lines 7-14)
- 18. Auto Gallery Mitsubishi disputes MMNA's allegation that it does not have "the ability or prospect of resuming dealership operations." The buyer of the Dealership Premises "is willing to fully cooperate to ensure the buy-sell is approved." In order to resume operations at the authorized location, Protestant could: (1) Execute a short-term sublease; (2) Enter into a management agreement that would provide for the operation of the Mitsubishi franchise at the authorized location, with Mr. Ghadiri remaining as the dealer principal until the buy-sell is approved. (Opposition, p. 5, lines 21-28)
- 19. Protestant claims the potential approval of the buy-sell or resumption of dealership operations are "open questions of fact" that preclude the Board from dismissing this protest. (Opposition, p. 6, line 1-3)
- 20. Protestant disputes MMNA's contention that Protestant does not have inventory, facilities, staff, or flooring. As noted above, Auto Gallery Mitsubishi transferred its new car inventory to Mr. Ghadiri's Mitsubishi franchise in Corona. Therefore, the inventory could be transferred back to the Murrieta location "when operations resume." The facilities still exist at the authorized location and are usable when operations resume. Existing staff at the Corona dealership could be added to the Murrieta

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Any inventory transferred back would likely be new inventory that was purchased through the Corona Mitsubishi dealership owned by Mr. Ghadiri.

dealership. Lastly, flooring can be added for the Murrieta location to an existing flooring source for the Corona dealership or a separate flooring line could be reestablished. (Opposition, p. 6, lines 8-16)

21. Protestant maintains that it has the "opportunity and means to resume operations until such time as the franchise transfer [buy-sell] is approved. This contention presents a question of fact that should be reserved for the merits hearing." (Opposition, p. 7, lines 11-13)

#### Respondent's Assertions in its Reply to the Opposition

- 22. Respondent's filed its Reply to the Opposition on July 31, 2023.
- 23. MMNA argues that Protestant does not dispute that it ceased all operations in early March 2023 and that it sold the Dealership Premises in May 2023. Yet, Protestant asserts that MMNA must wait until an evidentiary hearing to determine there is good cause for termination. MMNA asserts that "[b]ecause a closed and defunct dealership should not be allowed to maintain its franchise agreement, good cause exists as a matter of law for termination of the Dealer Agreement. Based on the undisputed facts, no evidentiary hearing is needed." (Reply, p. 2, lines 3-9)
- 24. Protestant states that it was engaged in settlement discussions with MMNA and, as part of those discussions, Protestant proposed to sell or close its Murrieta Mitsubishi dealership. MMNA notes that Mr. Ghadiri's Declaration does not contain any statement that Auto Galley Mitsubishi "ceased doing business at the Murrieta location due to settlement discussions with MMNA. In any event, to the extent settlement negotiations between the parties are admissible (they are not), [Protestant] had no contractual right to cease operations while settlement negotiations were ongoing. MMNA certainly did not give [Protestant] permission to close shop. That was a blatant breach of the Dealer Agreement." (Reply, p. 2, lines 9-16)
- 25. MMNA contends that Protestant "does not dispute that it sold the Dealership Premises and thus has no facilities to resume permanent dealership operations." (Reply, p. 2, lines 20-22) Nevertheless, Protestant "contends there are mechanisms available to enable it to resume 'temporary' dealership operations at its authorized location." (Reply, p. 2, lines 23-24) First, Protestant asserts it "may execute a short-term sublease for the purpose of resuming operations." (Opposition, p. 5, lines 25-26; Reply, p. 2, lines 24-25) MMNA argues that there is "no admissible evidence" demonstrating that the new owner of the former Dealership Premises would allow such a sublease or that the current tenant would agree.

- (Reply, p. 2, line 25 through p. 3, line 5) Even if Protestant could enter into a sublease, MMNA contends that Protestant should not be allowed to close for five or more months and then avoid termination by stating without evidentiary support that Protestant has the ability to temporarily resume its dealership operations. (Reply, p. 3, lines 8-11) Second, Protestant contends that it "may also enter into a management agreement that would provide for the operation of the franchise at the Authorized Location, with Hamid Ghadiri remaining as the Dealer Principal until the franchise transfer is approved." (Opposition, p. 5, lines 26-28; Reply, p. 3, lines 13-14) No evidence of such a management agreement was attached to Protestant's opposition or Mr. Ghadiri's declaration. Protestant did not provide any statements from the current owner or tenant concerning a management agreement. (Reply, p. 3, lines 13-18) Protestant's statement that it could "enter into such a hypothetical agreement should not be enough to avoid termination." (Reply, p. 3, lines 19-20)
- 26. Protestant does not dispute in its opposition "that it has not sold a new Mitsubishi vehicle since November 2022, has no new car inventory, no flooring arrangement to purchase new vehicles and no dealership premises from which to operate (or reopen) its dealership." (Reply, p. 3, lines 22-24)
- 27. In response to Protestant's position that there remain open questions of fact concerning whether it can resume its dealership operations, MMNA asserts this argument is contradicted by the undisputed facts submitted by MMNA and confirmed by Protestant's opposition. (Reply, p. 4, lines 7-9) Protestant has not taken any steps to resume its' dealership operations since it was served with the Notice of Termination. The only step Protestant took was to sell the Dealership Premises. (Reply, p. 4, line 10) "Assuming, arguendo, that [Protestant] could resume operations at some unidentified point in the future, it is undisputed that [Protestant] has failed to conduct customary sales and service operations at the Dealership Premises since March 2023 (five months). That fact alone justifies termination of the Dealer Agreement." (Reply, p. 4, lines 11-14)
- 28. Protestant requests that the Board wait for MMNA to review the buy-sell prior to making a determination on whether MMNA has good cause for termination. MMNA indicated that it will review the buy-sell in good faith once it receives all of the required information. However, the submission of a buy-sell "does not stay a termination proceeding under California Law." (Reply, p. 4, lines 23-26)
  - 29. In conclusion, MMNA argues that "[i]t is undisputed that [Protestant] has not been

1	operating since March 2023 and sold the Dealership Premises in May 2023—that constitutes good cause			
2	for termination." The execution of the Asset Purchase Agreement the day before Protestant's "opposition			
3	to MMNA's motion to dismiss was due does not somehow mean MMNA no longer has good cause to			
4	terminate the [Protestant's Dealer] Agreement." (Reply, p. 5, lines 4-8) MMNA asserts that good cause			
5	exists for the termination of Protestant's Mitsubishi franchise because Protestant breached the Dealer			
6	Agreement, ceased all operations months ago, sold the Dealership Premises, is providing no benefit to the			
7	public, and there is no likelihood that Protestant will be fully operational in the future. MMNA seeks a			
8	Board order dismissing the protest with prejudice or, in the alternative, summarily adjudicating the protest			
9	in MMNA's favor. (Reply, p. 5, lines 10-15)			
10	APPLICABLE LAW			
11	30. Section 331 defines a franchise, in part, as follows:			
12	(a) A "franchise" is a written agreement between two or more persons having all of the			
13	following conditions:  (1) A commercial relationship of definite duration or continuing indefinite duration.  (2) The franchisee is granted the right to offer for sale or lease, or to sell or lease at retail new motor vehicles manufactured or distributed by the franchisor or the right to perform authorized warranty repairs and service, or the right to perform any combination of these activities.  (3) The franchisee constitutes a component of the franchisor's distribution system.  (4) The operation of the franchisee's business is substantially associated with the franchisor's trademark, trade name, advertising, or other commercial symbol designating the franchisor.  (5) The operation of a portion of the franchisee's business is substantially reliant on the			
14				
15				
16 17				
18	franchisor for a continued supply of new vehicles, parts, or accessories."			
19	31. Section 331.1 defines a franchisee as follows:			
20	A "franchisee" is any person who, pursuant to a franchise, receives new motor vehicles subject			
21	to registration under this code, new off-highway motorcycles, as defined in Section 436, new a terrain vehicles, as defined in Section 111, from the franchisor and who offers for sale or			
22	lease, or sells or leases the vehicles at retail or is granted the right to perform authorized warranty repairs and service, or the right to perform any combination of these activities.			
23	32. Section 331.2 defines a franchisor as follows:			
24	A "franchisor" is any person who manufactures, assembles, or distributes new motor vehicles			
25	subject to registration under this code, new off-highway motorcycles, as defined in Section 436, new all-terrain vehicles, as defined in Section 111, and who grants a franchise.			
26	33. Section 3050 provides, in part, as follows:			
27	The board shall do all of the following:			
28	///			

#### 4. Failure of Financing Arrangements

It is Dealer's sole responsibility to institute appropriate controls to ensure the uninterrupted availability of sufficient funds under its approved credit line with Dealer's financial institution. Should Dealer fail to pay for, or should any applicable financing arrangement fail to provide credit for the payment of, any MMNA Products ordered by Dealer when payment is due therefor, MMNA may, with respect to any such MMNA Products (i) cause the same to be stored at the sole risk and expense of Dealer; or (ii) cause such MMNA Products to be shipped elsewhere (including returning the same to MMNA) and Dealer shall pay to MMNA promptly upon demand all expenses sustained by MMNA in storing, handling and shipping occasioned thereby; or (iii) without obligation to pay any sum to Dealer, sell such MMNA Products directly to any other MMNA Dealer, person, firm or corporation, all expenses or losses occasioned thereby to be borne by Dealer.

In addition to the foregoing, in the event of an oral or written refusal by Dealer's financing institution to make payment against drafts for any MMNA Vehicle ordered by Dealer, MMNA may impose a fixed administrative charge for each MMNA Vehicle refused. The amount of such charge, which shall be in addition to otherwise applicable delivery, storage and demurrage charges, shall reflect a reasonable estimate of the average administrative cost incurred by MMNA in arranging for alternative disposition of the MMNA Vehicle so refused. Furthermore, any failure of Dealer's financial institution to maintain for a period of sixty (60) or more days the unrestricted availability to MMNA of Dealer's credit line in an amount and in accordance with the terms approved by MMNA shall constitute grounds for termination of this Agreement under Section X.B.2.(f) hereof. "Unrestricted availability" as used in this section shall mean that upon presentment of MMNA's drafts to Dealer's financial institution as contemplated hereunder, no approval of Dealer, the financial institution itself or any other party will be required before payment to MMNA is made.

. . .

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### VI. ACCOUNTS, RECORDS AND REPORTS

#### A. Uniform Accounting System

It is for the mutual benefit of Dealer and MMNA that uniform accounting systems and practices be maintained by all Authorized MMNA Dealers. Accordingly, Dealer agrees to maintain such systems and practices as designated by MMNA in accordance with the uniform accounting system and practices established by MMNA for use by all MMNA Dealers. Dealer agrees that it will furnish to MMNA by the tenth (10th) day of each month, in the form prescribed by MMNA, true, complete and accurate financial and operating statements covering the preceding month and showing calendar-year-to-date operations.

#### B. Sales Reporting

To assist in the evaluation of current market trends and other matters, Dealer

1		agrees to:	
2 3		1. Immediately upon delivery of an MMNA Vehicle to the purchaser thereof, complete and transmit to MMNA a report of the retail sale called the "Retail Delivery Report"; and	
4		2. Furnish MMNA with such other reports or records which may	
5		reasonably be required by MMNA.	
6	VIII. SEF	RVICING MMNA VEHICLES	
7	A.	Responsibilities of Dealer Dealer agrees to provide service and parts to all MMNA Vehicles whether	
8		or not under warranty and whether or not the MMNA Vehicle to be serviced was purchased from Dealer.	
9		1. Warranty Service	
10		Warranty and policy service shall be performed in accordance with	
11		the Warranty Manual and any related bulletins and directives issued from time to time by MMNA to Dealer. Dealer shall furnish to the	
12		purchaser of each MMNA Product, at the time each product is delivered, copies of any applicable warranties. Dealer shall be	
13		responsible for the timely submission of warranty claims in the format required by MMNA. MMNA agrees to compensate Dealer	
14 15		for all warranty and policy work in accordance with procedures and rates established from time to time by MMNA and in accordance with applicable law; and Dealer agrees that such rates shall	
16		constitute full and complete payment to Dealer for such work. Dealer agrees that where MMNA reimburses Dealer for warranty or policy	
17		work, the customer shall not be obligated to pay any charges for warranty or policy work except as required by law.	
18		•••	
19	X. TEI	RMINATION OF AGREEMENT	
20	В.	MMNA May Terminate This Agreement For Cause:	
21		1. Immediately	
22		a. Upon failure of Dealer to keep its MMNA dealership operations, or any part thereof, open for business for a period	
23		in excess of five (5) consecutive business days as required under Section IV.F. hereof, except in the event such closure	
24		or cessation of operation is caused by some physical event beyond the control of Dealer, such as civil war, riots, fires,	
25		floods, earthquakes, or other acts of God; or	
26	(Smidlein Decl., Ex. B; without emphasis)		
27	///		
28	///	1.5	
		15	

## FACTS THAT HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED BY THE EVIDENTIARY SUBMISSIONS OF THE PARTIES

- 38. In February 2023, MMNA observed that Protestant "was not conducting customary sales and service operations during customary hours of business for at least seven consecutive business days at the Dealership Premises." Additionally, the real estate where Protestant operated its Mitsubishi dealership operations was available for sale. (Smidlein Decl., ¶ 7, Ex. C)
- 39. "On March 9, 2023, Linda Felix, MMNA's District Parts & Service Manager, observed that Dealer's remaining employees were working at Auto Gallery Corona in Corona, California, and these employees advised Ms. Felix that the Murrieta location was closed and they had fully moved out of the Murrieta store on March 7, 2023." (Smidlein Decl., ¶ 8, Ex. D).
- 40. On March 14, 2023, Mr. Ghadiri advised a vendor by email that the Dealership Premises were closed. (Smidlein Decl., ¶ 9, Ex. E)
- 41. Protestant is required to report new vehicle sales and submit monthly financial statements to MMNA. Protestant has not sold a new motor vehicle since November 22, 2022. (Smidlein Decl., ¶ 10, Ex. B, Standard Provisions, Sections VI.A and VI.B)
- 42. Protestant's financial statements for February and March of 2023 evidence that it had no new vehicle sales in either month. (Smidlein Decl., ¶ 10)
- 43. Protestant is also required to submit timely warranty claims to MMNA for reimbursement for warranty service provided by Protestant. Warranty repairs have not been performed by Protestant since January 18, 2023. (Smidlein Decl., ¶ 11, Ex. B, Standard Provision, Sections VIII.A.1)
- 44. The Standard Provisions require Protestant "to maintain a wholesale credit line in an amount and with a financial institution acceptable to MMNA." By letter dated April 18, 2023, MMNA received notice from Protestant's financial institution providing Protestant's wholesale credit line that "the wholesale credit lines extended to [Auto Gallery Mitsubishi Murrieta] have been suspended until further notice." (Smidlein Decl., ¶ 12, Ex. B, Standard Provisions, Section III.C.2; Ex. F)
- 45. "On May 24, 2023, a Grant Deed for the sale of the Dealership Premises was recorded in [the] County of Riverside, Office of Assessor-County Clerk-Recorder. . ." Mr. Ghadiri sold the Dealership Premises to White Topi, LLC in May 2023. (Smidlein Decl., ¶ 15, Ex. H)

### 4 5

8

6

### 10 11

### 12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

46. Protestant transferred all of its new vehicle inventory to Auto Gallery Mitsubishi – Corona, another Mitsubishi dealer owned by Mr. Ghadiri.

#### **ANALYSIS**

- 47. There is no dispute that the Board has the inherent power to dismiss a protest (without a hearing on the merits of the protest) if the Board lacks jurisdiction over the parties or the protest. This may be due to the absence of a "franchise" (as defined in the Vehicle Code) or because the protest was not timely filed.
- 48. And there is no dispute that the Board has the implied power to summarily dismiss a protest based upon the "existing circumstances" as was done by the Board and upheld in *Duarte*. In Duarte, the franchise for Plymouth vehicles was being terminated as the franchisor (one of the prior Chrysler entities) had ceased production of the Plymouth line-make.
- 49. In Duarte, a Board order sustaining the protest would have been a useless act and meaningless as the franchisor could not, by order of the Board, resume providing Plymouth vehicles to the franchisee. No order of the Board could prevent the loss of the Plymouth dealership and allow it to continue to serve the public in that market area. This protest is similar to *Duarte*, in that no order of the Board could result in Auto Gallery Mitsubishi resuming operations. In the instant case, a Board order sustaining the protest (preventing the termination of the written agreement) cannot prevent the loss of the Auto Gallery Mitsubishi dealership, cannot protect the investment of the owner, and cannot allow the dealership to continue to serve the public in the market area.
- 50. The purpose of Section 3060 is to protect franchisees from unjustified terminations by franchisors that would result in the loss of the dealership and loss of the investment of the owners as well as to protect the public's access to dealerships that are needed and doing a good job in providing for the essential needs of the public. (New Motor Vehicle Board v. Orrin W. Fox Co. (1978) 439 U.S. 96 at 100-101) Although a franchise is merely the written agreement between the parties, an existing franchise is essential for the franchisee to operate the dealership. Thus, in the case of an operating dealership, the loss of the franchise would result in the loss of the dealership with all of the possible adverse consequences that would flow from such a loss. Ordinarily, the dealership is in operation but will be required to cease operation if the franchise is terminated. Here the situation is reversed. Although the

franchise, the written agreement, technically continues to exist<sup>11</sup> all of the adverse consequences that would flow from such a loss or closure have already occurred and cannot be remedied or ameliorated by any order of the Board that MMNA should not be permitted to terminate the written agreement.

- 51. If the Board has jurisdiction over the protest, the Board is without power to do anything other than to overrule or sustain the protest. Sustaining the protest would mean only that Respondent cannot terminate the franchise the written agreement that contains the contractual rights and duties of the parties. However, as stated above, ordering that the contractual relationship continue to exist will not result in the re-opening of the dealership that has been closed for an excessive amount of time nor will requiring Respondent to maintain its contractual relationship with Auto Gallery Mitsubishi change the fact that Auto Gallery Mitsubishi has no assets that would be lost by the termination of the franchise. Auto Gallery Mitsubishi has no location for the facility from which to operate the dealership and has transferred its inventory to a different dealership. Sustaining the protest and preventing the termination of the franchise (the written agreement) will result in maintaining the status quo which will leave the parties and the consuming public where they have been since March 7, 2023 with no Mitsubishi sales being made, with no service available to the public, no warranty obligations of Respondent being performed on customers' vehicles, and no benefits to the public that would accrue if the dealership had been operational.
- 52. Sustaining the protest would not further the legislative intent of the statutes, which, unless there is good cause to do so, is to prevent the loss of the benefit of the dealership to all of the community interests affected by and dependent upon such ongoing enterprises. The loss of the dealership has already occurred and it occurred before Respondent made its decision to issue the Notice of Termination of the franchise. The existing circumstances are that the dealership is closed, and has been closed since March 7, 2023.
- 53. Sustaining the protest would be a meaningless act as Protestant is unable to function as a dealership operating as a Mitsubishi franchisee. An order of the Board requiring Respondent to continue in its franchise relationship with Auto Gallery Mitsubishi would not protect Auto Gallery Mitsubishi

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The dealership ceased to operate on March 7, 2023 when it closed its doors and sold the Dealership Premises in May 2023.

from an unfair termination of its franchise nor would there be any protection of the interests of the public or otherwise further the intention of the legislature in the enactment of the statutes at issue.

- 54. In summary, MMNA is correct in contending that the facts are such that there is no relief available before the Board and thus going to a hearing to determine whether there is good cause to terminate the franchise would be an exercise in futility. This is because Auto Gallery Mitsubishi has not been, is not now and cannot in the future operate as a dealership conducting business as a Mitsubishi franchisee. Consequently, a Board order that MMNA may not terminate the franchise will not operate to further the legislative intent of requiring that a franchisor establish good cause to do so before allowing termination of the franchise operations thus protecting the public interest in preserving the dealership and maintaining its existence for serving the consuming public. Sustaining the protest in this situation will not prevent the loss of the dealership, will not prevent any unfair forfeiture to Auto Gallery Mitsubishi or its owners, will not protect the employees, the community or the consuming public that would be served by the dealership.
- 55. Under the existing circumstances, deciding whether MMNA has good cause to terminate the franchise is unneeded as a Board order would be meaningless. All of the adverse effects of the loss of Auto Gallery Mitsubishi have already occurred and no order of the Board will prevent such adverse effects or even mitigate against their result. Despite such allegation in the protest, there are no facts to indicate that MMNA was in any way the cause of, or responsible for, the closure of the dealership.
- 56. Section 3061 requires that the franchisor establish good cause to terminate the franchise taking into consideration "the existing circumstances" including several specific areas of inquiry as will be discussed.
- 57. The most important "existing circumstances" here are that: Auto Gallery Mitsubishi ceased all operations on March 7, 2023; termination of the franchise (the written document) will not cause any additional loss to the franchisee; the consuming public has not had an operating Mitsubishi dealership in Murrieta since March 7, 2023; MMNA will not be able to appoint a new franchisee who will establish a new dealership in Murrietta until the Auto Gallery Mitsubishi franchise (the written agreement) is terminated pursuant to an order of the Board; the franchisee no longer has any employees; and, the franchisee is not contributing to the economy and is not generating any tax revenue for Murrieta

#### PROPOSED ORDER

After consideration of the pleadings, exhibits and oral arguments of counsel, it is hereby ordered that Respondent's "Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Adjudication" is granted.

Protest No. PR-2819-23 *Soraya, Inc., dba Auto Gallery Mitsubishi – Murrieta* v. *Mitsubishi Motors*North America, Inc. is overruled and dismissed with prejudice.

I hereby submit the foregoing which constitutes my proposed order in the above-entitled matter, as the result of a hearing before me, and I recommend this proposed order be adopted as the decision of the New Motor Vehicle Board.

DATED: November 14, 2023

By\_ AM Almora

ANTHONY M. SKROCKI Administrative Law Judge

Steve Gordon, Director, DMV Ailene Short, Branch Chief, Industry Service Branch, DMV